

Exhibit A

1 arbitration or -- is it a litigation or arbitration in
2 Israel?

3 MS. WICKOUSKI: Well, it's a litigation and this
4 is important because the litigation really seeks to
5 preserves assets, ironically, assets that Celsius --

6 THE COURT: This is the Fireblocks managed to lose
7 the --

8 MS. WICKOUSKI: Yes. And so --

9 THE COURT: -- private key.

10 MS. WICKOUSKI: In everybody's -- think it's in
11 both Celsius and our client's interest to make sure that
12 that litigation is preserved. It's affirmative litigation.
13 Make sure that that goes forward and is not prejudiced in
14 any way. And one concern that we have is that -- I mean, we
15 want to make sure that we're not disclosing to the adverse
16 party. And I say adverse party, it's adverse both to
17 Celsius and to StakeHound that we're not disclosing our
18 litigation strategy by, you know reverse engineering they
19 can figure out by the breakdown in legal fees, the breakdown
20 in court costs, what's really going on and what we're
21 planning to do. And I think that's very important that in
22 these talks that proceed, we do so under an NDA or some
23 assurance of confidentiality with the Debtor, but I've no
24 reason to believe that they wouldn't agree to that, but I'm
25 just saying, we have to get that in place. And I would see

1 that being a subject of discussion --

2 THE COURT: Have you talked with Mr. Hurley about
3 that?

4 MS. WICKOUSKI: I haven't talked about that yet,
5 but that would be something that we'd plan to discuss.

6 THE COURT: Well, there is little that I know
7 about the issues about Fireblocks and StakeHound certainly
8 suggest to me that you -- that Celsius and StakeHound share
9 an interest in recovery. Whether you can get a recovery is
10 a different issue. But, you know, it's certainly sounded
11 like you shared an interest that would support a common
12 interest, agreement or something like that.

13 MS. WICKOUSKI: Yes. I mean, that's right. And
14 that is an action --

15 THE COURT: But that's something you'd have to
16 talk about with --

17 THE COURT: MS. WICKOUSKI: Yes. And that is an
18 action that benefits both parties so I think -- I hope I've
19 answered Your Honor's question with respect to service.

20 THE COURT: Just so we're clear. You're
21 unequivocally telling the Court that you are authorized to
22 accept service of the summons and complaint in the adversary
23 proceeding that Celsius filed. Is that correct?

24 MS. WICKOUSKI: Yes.

25 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. I just wanted -- I

1 crystal clear.

2 THE COURT: I would assume that.

3 MR. HURLEY: In terms of confidentiality, we'll
4 certainly consider anything proposed. We haven't heard a
5 proposal. At this time, I'm not sure I completely follow
6 the logic of what was being suggested but we'll listen to
7 anything in good faith.

8 THE COURT: The one reaction I had to it was, you
9 try and work that out. It's something that I wanted to
10 delve into. Let's put it that way. I mean, there's certain
11 things that do need to be disclosed. I mean, that didn't
12 seem -- what the strategy about litigation in Israel is is
13 not one of them.

14 MR. HURLEY: And I guess finally just
15 housekeeping, Your Honor, in terms of when you'd like to
16 hear from us or see us again. I don't know if you had a
17 date in mind.

18 THE COURT: You know, if everything went smoothly
19 and you didn't need to see me again, that's fine. But if
20 you need to see me, you'll get to see me soon. You know,
21 you tell me.

22 MR. HURLEY: All right. And I guess in the
23 meantime -- my understanding anyway is that we do have the
24 assurance required that there won't be dissipation at least
25 until we come back to the Court while we try to work out the